Case 2:08-cv-00575-GLF-NMK Document 71-36 Filed 01/07/10 Page 1 of 4 Transcript page 4216-4229

Page 4215 A. I thought it was very simple. I wanted to teach more

- 3 Q. When you say you wanted to teach more evolution, did you
- 4 want to critically analyze evolution?
- 5 A. Yes.

2

- 6 Q. Was that going on already in the school district?
- 7 A. Yes. It was a tenth grade standard.
- 8 Q. And we're going to come back to these academic content 9
 - standards as to when exactly they became effective. But in
- 2003 when you made your proposal to the Board of Education, 10
- did you have knowledge of the tenth grade academic content 11
- standard that permitted tenth-graders to critically analyze 12
- 13 evolution?

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- A. Yes. It was just coming through at that time. 14
- Q. And was it your intent with the proposal to the school 15
- 16 board to simply go ahead and take the tenth grade standard
- that was in existence and permit it to be taught at the 17 eighth grade level? 18
- 19 MR. MILLSTONE: Objection to the leading nature of 20 the question.

MR. HAMILTON: I'm trying to get clarity as to his intent. There's been all kinds of discussion about his intent. We're just trying to get clarity.

MR. MILLSTONE: You can get clarity, but it's not up to you to testify as to what he's doing.

Page 4216

HEARING OFFICER: If you could repeat the question. (Question read.)

HEARING OFFICER: Overruled. You can answer.

- A. I thought I've already answered that. Yes. I've already stated that, yes, that's what I was after, the tenth grade standard and bring it down to the eighth grade standard.
- Q. John, I'm going to hand you what's been marked Employee 8
- Exhibit No. 5. Would you take a look at that document. This 9
- 10 is the proposal that you made back in 2003 to the Board of
- 11 Education. Correct?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- Q. Did you have any purpose or intent to get the school
- board to let you teach religion in the classroom? 14
- A. No. 15
- Q. Did you have any purpose or intent to get the school 16
- board to let you talk about or teach creationism in the 17
- 18 classroom?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Did you have any intent or purpose to have the school 20
- board let you talk or teach about intelligent design? 21
- 22
- 23 Q. It actually states right here in this proposal that this
- teaching being conducted -- I'm looking at the fourth line 24
- down -- that the teaching "be conducted and taught 25

objectively and without religious, naturalistic, or

- 2 philosophic bias or assumption." True?
- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 Q. And is that what you were trying to do?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, there's been some discussion about why you included 6
- materials from the Intelligent Design Network. Tell us why 7
- 8 materials were included from the Intelligent Design Network
- 9 in this proposal.
- 10 A. At that time, I was just searching through a lot of
- 11 different things on the Internet and just gathering
- information. I thought it could be helpful to someone who is 12
- 13 reading this.
- Q. And, in fact, on the second page of Employee Exhibit 14
- No. 5, Teaching Darwinian Evolution Objectively --
- A. Where are you at?
- 17 Q. I'm at the third star under "what does the law say." Do
- you see that? 18
- 19 A. Okay.
- Q. It states that "the Ohio State Board of Education voted
- 18-0 to adopt the new Science Standards on December 10th,
- 22 2002, which states for its Grade 10th indicator 23, 'Describe
- how scientists continue to investigate and critically analyze 23
- aspects of evolutionary theory." Do you see that?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

Page 4218

rage 4217

- Q. Is that what you were trying to do with the proposal?
- A. Yes, the tenth grade standard.
- 3 Q. Now, in this Employee Exhibit No. 5, is that you
- creating the language or did you cut and paste the language? 4
- 5 A. I did some cutting and pasting.
- Q. And you cut and pasted from many different places? 6
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. I see here that it looks like on the second page of
- Employee Exhibit No. 5, the next to last paragraph,
- www.sciohio.org is one of the places that you gained
- 11 information. Correct?
- A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. And, of course, you were gaining information from the No
- Child Left Behind of 2001? 14
- A. Yes, I remember doing some research on Santorum, the 15
- senator from Pennsylvania. I recall that name.
- Q. Did you quote some material from the Plain Dealer in 17
- this particular document? 18
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Did you actually quote there under the fourth star on
- page 2 where it says No. 4 "to form and express opinions on
- controversial issues," and then in parentheses it says "See
- 23 Program 2240," page 1 of 1?
- 24 A. Ido.
- 25 Q. Are you referring to the Mount Vernon city school

Page 4219 system's Policy 2240 as it relates to controversial issues?

2 A. Yes.

1

- Q. So this entire document didn't come from the Intelligent 3
- 4 Design Network, did it?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Now, at this particular time, this was after you and
- Mr. Kuntz had had a discussion related to your evaluation on 7
- January 21, 2003. Is that right? 8
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And after that discussion, many things started to take 10
- place, but as it relates to this proposal, this proposal 11
- 12 began to form after January 21, 2003, or before?
- A. That would be in that time frame or it would be after. 13
- Q. John, I hand you what's been marked Employee Exhibit No. 14
- 120. Take a look at it and tell me when you're done. 15
- A. Okay. 16
- Q. John, referencing back to Employee Exhibit No. 5, that 17
- would be your proposal to the board -- the very bottom of the 18
- 19 page, do you reference this language: "The policy" --
- referring to the policy that you're proposing at the time --20
- is nearly identical to the provisions of HB 481" --21
- 22 That's House Bill 481. Correct?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. -- "a proposal pending in the Ohio House of 24
- Representatives as of July 27, 2002." Correct?

Page 4220

- 1 A. That's correct.
- Q. Employee Exhibit No. 120, is this the, as you understand 2
- 3 it, then pending as of July 27th, 2002, House Bill that
- proposed to objectively teach origin science? 4
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. When you were doing this research back in 2003, did you 6 examine House Bill 481 back then? 7
- 8 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, take a look at the first page of Employee Exhibit 9
- No. 120. Under "Implementing Provisions," it states, "The
- bill seeks to further its stated intent through three
- operative provisions. These provision require schools to," 12
- and then it's got three different provisions. Right? 13
- A. Yes. 14
- Q. Is one of them to encourage teaching origin science 15
- objectively and without religious, naturalistic, or 16
- philosophic bias or assumption? 17
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And would the second operative provision be to require 19
- appropriate disclosure and explanation of any material 20
- assumption that is used in explaining origins? 21
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And, then, of course, the final one says, "Encourage the
- development of curriculum that will help students think 24
- critically about origins science and understand the full

Page 4221

- range of scientific views that exist regarding the origins of
- life and why origins science may generate controversy." 2
- 3
- Q. Is that what you were trying to do with your proposal in 4
- 5 2003?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. Would you agree that some people had a misunderstanding 7
- 8 of what you were trying to propose in 2003?
- 9 A. Yes, there was.
- Q. How did you come across an understanding that some 10
- people were misunderstanding what you were proposing? 11
- A. When I went in to talk to Mr. Kuntz, the principal at 12
- 13 the time, I was asking for his suggestions on what to do. He
- suggested going to the science curriculum committee, 14
- approximately 15, 20 teachers from everything from elementary 15
- to high school. I took the proposal in there. I presented 16 17
 - it as is. Left the room.
- 18 And I found out the following day -- two teachers that were in that room approached me and said there was discussion 19
- after I left, and they were discussing my religious beliefs. 20
- 21 And I thought that was very inappropriate.
- Q. First of all, when you made the proposal in 2003, were
- 23 you trying to do anything secretive?
- 24 A. No, not at all.
- Q. Did you provide them all the information that you had 25

Page 4222

- gathered during your presentation? 1
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. And when you learned about people talking about your
- 4 personal religious beliefs, what did you do?
- 5 A. I wrote those people a letter.
- Q. When you say "those people," which people? 6
- 7 A. That would be Mr. Maley and Dr. Weston.
- Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked Employee 8
- 9 Exhibit No. 121. It's a letter dated April 23, 2003. Is
- that letter familiar to you? 10
- 11 A. Yes, it is.
- 12 Q. How is it familiar to you?
- 13 A. Can I review it again?
- Q. Yes. 14
- 15 A. Okay.
- Q. Was this letter in your personnel file?
- A. No, it was not. 17
- 18 Q. Should it have been?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And what strikes you about this letter?
- A. Just the aspect of the bias that they have on me, that 21
- 22 they have -- I guess I'm going to use the word marked or
- 23 tagged me as some type of a religious whatever, fanatic or
- whatever, and they were freely talking about it in this 24
- 25 meeting. And I never mentioned religion once in that

Case 2:08-cv-00575-GLF-NMK Document 71-36 Filed 01/07/10 Page 3 of 4

Page 4223

meeting. There was no intention to teach my religion or 1

- creationism or intelligent design. I was -- I'll use the 2 3
- word I used earlier. I was appalled by their discussing 4 that. I couldn't believe it. Even today, it still amazes me
- that it would come up. That's why this letter was given to
- 6
- 7 Q. Now, the curriculum meeting, as stated in your letter,
- it occurred on April 22nd, 2003. Correct? 8
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. You had a meeting exactly five years later in 2008 on
- the same date. Right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. With Mr. White. Right? 13
- 14 A. Yes. Yes, I did. That's interesting.
- Q. We'll come back to that one here in a moment. But the 15
- second line, it says, "From the onset, it has been my desire 16 17
- that all parties consider my proposal as proposed."

During the curriculum meeting, were you asked any 18 19 questions about your personal religious beliefs?

- 20
- Q. Did you make any statements about your personal 21
- 22 religious beliefs?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Now, the next line there, third line down, it says, 24
- "Myself, and others who support this proposal." Who are

Page 4224

- 1 those others?
- A. There was a few other people. One that I can think of 2
- that helped me write the proposal was involved in helping me 3
- write it, in looking it over. And also my wife. 4
- 5 Q. Who was that other person?
- 6 A. Her name is Evy Oxenford.
- 7 Q. Evy, E-V-Y?
- 8 A. Yes.
- Q. Oxenford. 9
- 10 A. Yeah, that's correct.
- Q. Is she related to Bill Oxenford?
- A. That would be a sister-in-law.
- Q. Sister-in-law. But I understand there's been --13
- A. Was. Previous sister-in-law. 14
- Q. No longer a sister-in-law. Correct? 15
- 16 A. That's correct.
- Q. There in the fourth line, it says, "My proposal speaks 17
- only to the request that our school system be allowed to 18
- 19 critically analyze the theory of evolution."
- 20 Has it been your position all along that that's all
- 21 you're trying to do?
- 22
- Q. You specifically state there, beginning the next line
- down, it says it -- does it refer to your proposal? 24
- A. Yes.

Page 4225 Q. And in your proposal, it does not request the teaching

- 2 of creation or intelligent design?
- 3 A. Yes. Yeah.
- 4 Q. Has that always been your stance?
- 5 A. Yes.

1

- 6 Q. We'll come back to that in a little bit.
- 7 A. Next line down is where you tell Mr. Maley and Miss
- 8 Weston that "clearly, some people in this discussion have
- 9 allowed their own personal prejudices regarding my religious
- convictions to cloud their judgment." 10
- 11 Is that the way you felt then?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Is that the way you feel now? 13
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. It says here, "It has been confirmed through no less 15
- than two sources present at the meeting, that my personal 16
- religious beliefs were brought into the discussion regarding 17
- 18 my proposal."
- 19 We've talked about this, and you've had difficulty
- remembering who those sources were. Do you know who?
- A. I'm pretty sure that it was two teachers. 21
- 22 Q. Who?
- A. That would be Tammy Orsborn and Elle Button. 23
- Q. You state in this letter that you're very disappointed.
 - What were you disappointed about?

Page 4226

- A. That they would be talking about my religious beliefs in
- 2 that setting.
- Q. And then you go on to state that "Dr. Weston made no attempts to stop this questionable dialogue." You weren't 4
- 5 there when this happened, right?
- 6 A. No, I wasn't.
- 7 Q. This is just something that people reported to you, and
- you reported it to Dr. Weston and Mr. Maley. Correct?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- Q. The next sentence says that "I understand that this
- 11 dialogue was finally stopped by a teacher." Who was that
- 12
- A. One of those two. 13
- Q. One of which two?
- A. Elle Button or Tammy Orsborn. I do believe it was Tammy 15
- 16 Orsborn.
- Q. You go on to say you thought it was inappropriate to
- allow discussion of your religious beliefs to take place.
- 19 Correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, when you sent this letter to them, did you expect
- any follow-up from them?
- 23
- Q. In fact, you state that there in the letter. Right? 24
- 25 A. Yes.

Case 2:08-cv-00575-GLF-NMK Document 71-36 Filed 01/07/10 Page 4 of 4

- Page 4227 Q. You weren't -- were you mad at the time that they were 1 2 discussing your religious beliefs?
- 3 A. No. I thought this settled it right here.
- Q. Were you trying to file a complaint and have anybody 4
- 5 investigated or follow up on it?
- 6 A. No.
- Q. Now, would you agree or disagree that that particular 7
- 8 proposal in 2003 became an issue of locally notoriety?
- 9 A. Yes, it did.
- 10 Q. It did become an --
- 11 A. Yes, it did.
- 12 Q. And people wrote in letters to the editor?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And did you keep some of those letters to the editor?
- A. Yes, I did. 15
- 16 Q. Have you gone back and researched some of those letters
- to the editor? 17
- 18 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. I'm handing you Employee Exhibit No. 122. Would you 19
- tell me what that is.
- A. It's a letter to the editor of the Mount Vernon News. 21
- Q. So this is the Mount Vernon News dated Wednesday, May
- 7th, 2003. Correct? 23
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And the letter to the editor -- I'm focusing on the

Page 4228

- first one -- it takes up the entire left column and proceeds 1
- over into the top of the right column. Correct? 2
- 3
- Q. What's your understanding of what this person Elaine 4
- Benedict is writing in to the editor? 5
- A. I do believe that it is dealing with the situation of 6 7
- talking about my religion.
- Q. Now, May 7th is obviously after your letter of April 8
- 9 23rd. Right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. So people in the community at some point were talking 11
- about your religious perspective? 12
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. There in the first paragraph of the letter to the 14
- editor, the tenth line down, there's some language that is 15
- 16 quoted. Do you see it?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And Miss Benedict states that your proposal was trying 18
- 19 to, quote, present the scientific evidence regarding the
- 20 origins of life and its diversity objectively and without
- religious, naturalistic, or philosophical bias or assumption, 21
- unquote. Did she accurately capture what you were trying to 22
- 23 do?
- 24 A. Yes, she did.
- Q. Now, the next full paragraph down, the next full

paragraph down --

- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. -- it states, "It has been reported to me that
- 4 Mr. Freshwater's religious beliefs were discussed at the
- science curriculum committee." And then she goes on to say, 5
- "I would like to know why Dr. Lynda Weston ... allowed and 6
- continued to allow religion to be seen as part of the 7
- 8 proposal?"
- 9 Some people, would you agree, misunderstood your
- 10 proposal?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you always try to be clear what your proposal was 12
- 13
- 14 A. I thought it was clear.
- Q. Now, eventually, a board meeting did occur. Correct? 15
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And we learned from Dave Daubenmire that he says he was 17
- at that board meeting. Did you see him at the board meeting? 18
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Were you associating with him at the time when he was at 20
- 21 that board meeting?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Was there an alternative proposal that was subsequently 23
- 24 made after your proposal?
- 25 A. Yes, there was.

Page 4230

Page 4229

- Q. Let me go back. Was your proposal eventually voted down
- 2 by the board?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. So, in other words, the board rejected your proposal as 4
- 5 stated?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And then somebody came up with an alternative proposal?
- 8 A. That is correct.
- 9 Q. Who came up with this alternative proposal?
- A. School board member Dr. Benton. 10
- 11 Q. What do you understand her proposal to have been that
- many years ago?
- 13 A. Her proposal was a separation between macro and micro
- evolution, if I remember correctly.
- Q. Did she explain what macro and micro evolution were? 15
- 16 A. I don't remember if she did or not. I would expect she
- 17 did.
- Q. Your proposal and her proposal, as you understand, were 18
- they the same or different? 19
- 20 A. They were different.
- Q. If you need me to refresh your opinion with any of those 21
- 22 documents, you let me know. But let's see. Do you recall
- when the academic content standards were first issued by the 23
- 24 State of Ohio?
- 25 A. I could -- I know it's in the green book. I do believe